arXiv:1609.06125v1 [math.DG] 20 Sep 2016

POSITIVE RICCI CURVATURE ON SIMPLY-CONNECTED MANIFOLDS WITH COHOMOGENEITY-TWO TORUS ACTIONS

DIEGO CORRO* AND FERNANDO GALAZ-GARCÍA

Abstract. We show that every closed, smooth, simply-connected $(n+2)$-manifold with a smooth, effective action of a torus T^n admits an invariant Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature.

1. Main result

The presence of a smooth (effective) action of a compact (connected) Lie group G on a smooth manifold M has been leveraged to construct Riemannian metrics on M satisfying given geometric properties, e.g. positive Ricci curvature. In this context, Grove and Ziller showed in [21] that if M is closed (i.e. compact and without boundary), has finite fundamental group and the action of G has cohomogeneity one (i.e. the orbit space is one-dimensional), then M admits an invariant Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature (see also [34, 37]). When the action is of cohomogeneity two, Searle and Wilhelm showed in [32] that, if the fundamental group of the principal orbits is finite and the orbital distance metric on the orbit space has Ricci curvature greater than or equal to 1, then M admits an invariant metric of positive Ricci curvature. In the case of torus actions, Bazaĭkin and Matvienko proved in [1] that any closed, simply-connected smooth 4-manifold with an effective cohomogeneity-two torus action admits an invariant Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature. In the present article, we show that an analogous result holds in any dimension:

Theorem A. *If M is a closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifold with a smooth, effective action of a torus T^n, then there exists a T^n-invariant Riemannian metric on M with positive Ricci curvature.*

For $n = 0$, the result is trivial. When $n = 1$, it follows from Orlik and Raymond's classification of smooth circle actions on closed smooth 3-manifolds that M is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a 3-sphere with an orthogonal circle action (see [28, 31]). To prove Theorem A when $n = 2$, Bazaĭkin and Matvienko [1] used the orbit space structure of smooth effective 2-torus actions on closed smooth simply-connected 4-manifolds (studied by Orlik and Raymond in [29]) to construct an invariant metric with positive Ricci curvature on M. To prove the theorem in dimension $n \geqslant 5$, we combine basic facts on cohomogeneity-two torus actions with a simple extension of

Date: September 21, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20, 57S15.
Key words and phrases. cohomogeneity two, torus action, positive Ricci curvature.
*Supported by CONACyT-DAAD Scholarship number 409912.

Bazaĭkin and Matvienko's constructions to higher dimensions.

Theorem A combined with the work of Oh [26, 27] implies the following result.

Corollary B. *For every integer $k \geqslant 4$, every connected sum of the form*

$$\#(k-3)(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3), \tag{1.1}$$

$$(\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^3)\#(k-4)(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\#(k-4)(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^4)\#(k-3)(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3), \tag{1.3}$$

$$(\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^4)\#(k-5)(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^4)\#(k-3)(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3), \tag{1.4}$$

has a metric with positive Ricci curvature invariant under a cohomogeneity-two torus action.

Here, $\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^3$ and $\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^4$ denote, respectively, the non-trivial 3-sphere bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$ and the non-trivial 4-sphere bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2$. We convene that, if the number of summands is negative, then there are no summands of that type in the connected sums (1.1)–(1.4).

Without assuming any symmetry, Sha and Yang showed that connected sums of k copies of $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{S}^m$, $k \geqslant 1$, admit metrics of positive Ricci curvature (see [33, Theorem 1]). Therefore, the manifolds in (1.1) were already known to admit metrics of positive Ricci curvature. Wraith proved in [39, Theorem A] the existence of such metrics on connected sums $(\mathbb{S}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{m_1})\#(\mathbb{S}^{n_2} \times \mathbb{S}^{m_2})\# \cdots \#(\mathbb{S}^{n_k} \times \mathbb{S}^{m_k})$, for $n_i, m_i \geqslant 3$ such that $n_i + m_i = n_j + m_j$ for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant k$. The spaces in (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) are, to the best of our knowledge, new examples, except for the trivial connected sums; the non-trivial bundles over $\mathbb{S}^2$ are biquotients (see [6, 7]) and Schwachhöfer and Tuschmann proved that any biquotient with finite fundamental group admits a metric with positive Ricci curvature (see [34]). We point out that the connected sum decompositions in (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) are not necessarily equivariant (see [26, 27]).

For every $n \geqslant 5$, there exist closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifolds with an effective action of an n-torus (see [24] and [27, Section 4]). Therefore, Theorem A yields examples of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature and torus symmetry in any dimension. Not much is known about their topology, however, except in dimensions at most 6. We also note that, by combining Theorem A with [16, Theorem 0.1] or [38, Theorem 0.5], one obtains further manifolds with positive Ricci curvature.

Galaz-García and Searle proved in [15] that, if M is a closed $(n+2)$-dimensional simply-connected Riemannian manifold with non-negative sectional curvature and an effective isometric torus action of cohomogeneity two, then $n \leqslant 4$. Thus in dimension 7 and above, the metrics given by Theorem A have positive Ricci curvature but cannot have non-negative sectional curvature. We point out that closed, simply-connected manifolds with a smooth effective cohomogeneity-two torus action are *polar*, i.e. there exists an immersed submanifold which intersects all orbits orthogonally (see [22, Example 4.4]). Polar manifolds, which include closed cohomogeneity-one manifolds, are special in that one can reconstruct them out of the isotropy

group information (see [22]). It would be interesting to determine whether any other polar manifolds admit invariant metrics with positive Ricci curvature.

In the case of cohomogeneity 3 actions (not necessarily by tori), Wraith has shown that there exist G-manifolds with any given number of isolated singular orbits and an invariant metric of positive Ricci curvature; the corresponding result is also true in cohomogeneity 5, provided the number of singular orbits is even (see [40]).

Recall that a closed smooth manifold M has *almost non-negative curvature* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a Riemannian metric g_ε on M whose sectional curvatures $\sec(g_\varepsilon)$ and diameter $\operatorname{diam}(g_\varepsilon)$ satisfy the inequality $-\varepsilon < \sec(g_\varepsilon) \cdot \operatorname{diam}(g_\varepsilon)^2$. Schwachhöfer and Tuschmann showed in [34] that any closed smooth cohomogeneity-one manifold with finite fundamental group admits invariant Riemannian metrics with positive Ricci and almost nonnegative sectional curvature simultaneously. In our case, it is not difficult to show, using the Gauß-Bonnet Theorem and a volume comparison argument on the orbit space, that a closed smooth simply-connected manifold with an effective cohomogeneity-two torus action with five or more orbits with isotropy T^2 (equivalently, whose orbit space has at least five vertices) cannot be almost non-negatively curved.

Theorem A can also be put in the context of the so-called *symmetry rank*, originally introduced by Grove and Searle in [20]. Given a closed Riemannian n-manifold M, its *symmetry rank*, denoted by $\operatorname{symrk}(M)$, is defined as the rank of the isometry group of M. If M has positive sectional curvature, then $\operatorname{symrk}(M) \leqslant \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ (see [20]). Theorem A shows that for a closed, simply-connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geqslant 6$, no such bound is possible under the weaker hypothesis of positive Ricci curvature. In particular, since no closed, simply-connected $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geqslant 2$, admits a cohomogeneity-one effective torus action (see, for example, [15, proof of Theorem B]), we get the following result:

Corollary C. *If M is a closed, simply-connected $(n+2)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with positive Ricci curvature, then* $\operatorname{symrk}(M) \leqslant n$, *for* $n \geqslant 2$

Recall that a simply-connected topological space X is *rationally elliptic* if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. Otherwise, X is said to be *rationally hyperbolic* (see [11, 12]). By [14, Theorem A], the symmetry rank of a smooth, closed, simply-connected rationally-elliptic n-manifold is bounded above by $\lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$. Therefore, a closed, simply-connected smooth n-manifold admitting a cohomogeneity-two torus action can be rationally elliptic only if $n \leqslant 6$. By comparing the list of closed, smooth, simply-connected n-manifolds, $n \leqslant 6$, in [27, 26, 29] with the list of rational homotopy types of closed, simply-connected smooth manifolds with maximal symmetry rank in [14, Theorem B] (see also [30, Section 4]), it follows that the only closed, simply-connected, smooth, rationally-elliptic manifolds with an effective cohomogeneity-two torus action and an invariant metric of positive Ricci curvature are $\mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^4$, $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \pm \mathbb{C}P^2$, $\mathbb{S}^5$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^3$ and

$\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. These spaces admit, in fact, Riemannian metrics with non-negative sectional curvature and an effective, isometric torus action of cohomogeneity two (see, for example, [13]). More generally, Yeager showed in [41] that any closed, simply-connected manifold with non-negative sectional curvature and an effective isometric cohomogeneity-two action is rationally elliptic.

The so-called *Bott conjecture*, a central conjecture in Riemannian geometry, asserts that a closed, simply-connected manifold with non-negative sectional curvature must be rationally elliptic. This conjecture has been extended by Grove to include almost non-negatively curved manifolds (see [19]). Let M be a closed, simply-connected, rationally-elliptic smooth manifold with an effective torus action of cohomogeneity two. By the comments in the preceding paragraph, M is rationally elliptic if and only if it admits an invariant metric of non-negative sectional curvature. The orbit space structure (see Section 2) implies, via a comparison argument as in [15], that M has an invariant metric of non-negative curvature if and only if the orbit space has at most four orbits with isotropy T^2. Therefore, if M is rationally hyperbolic, there must be at least five orbits with isotropy T^2, corresponding to vertices of the orbit space. This in turn implies, by the comments in the paragraph preceding the symmetry rank discussion above, that M cannot be almost non-negatively curved. Therefore, M cannot yield counterexamples to the extended Bott conjecture.

Recall that the so-called *Stolz conjecture* [36] asserts that the Witten genus of a closed string manifold M with a Riemannian metric with positive Ricci curvature must vanish (see [5] for a survey). For $n \geq 3$, an effective smooth action of an n-torus on a closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifold M has no fixed points (see Section 2). One can therefore find a circle subgroup of T^n that acts without fixed points on M. Hence all Pontrjagin numbers of M vanish (see [25, Ch. II, Corollary 6.2]). Since the Witten genus is a linear combination of Pontrjagin numbers, it follows that the Witten genus of a closed, simply-connected smooth string $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geq 3$, with an effective action of T^n must vanish. When $n = 2$, a T^2-action on a closed, simply connected smooth 4-manifold must have fixed points. However, the Witten genus of a closed, simply-connected smooth string 4-manifold must also vanish because the string condition implies the vanishing of the first (and only) Pontrjagin number. Thus, the Stolz conjecture holds for closed, simply-connected manifolds with an effective torus action of cohomogeneity-two.

The proof of Theorem A in dimensions 5 and higher is based on Bazaĭkin and Matvienko's proof of the 4-dimensional case in [1]. Its outline is as follows. First, given a closed, simply connected $(n+2)$-manifold M with an effective action of an n-torus, one constructs an $(m+2)$-manifold N_m with an effective T^m-action and a free action of a T^{m-n}-torus subgroup of T^m; here, m is the number of orbits with isotropy T^2 of the T^n action on M. It then follows that N_m/T^{m-n} has a cohomogeneity-two action of T^n; one constructs N_m in such a way that the T^n action on N_m/T^{m-n} has the same orbit space structure as the T^n action on M. A basic result on

cohomogeneity-two torus actions (see Theorem 2.1 below) then implies that N_m/T^{m-n} and M are equivariantly diffeomorphic. Finally, one verifies that the metric constructions by Bazaĭkin and Matvienko carry over to higher dimensions to obtain a piecewise-smooth invariant metric with positive Ricci curvature in the cases where $m \geq 5$. The desired metric is then obtained by observing that the piecewise-smooth metric can be smoothed while preserving the positivity of the Ricci curvature. For the sake of completeness, we include in the proof an outline of the smoothing argument. The cases where $m \leq 4$ are dealt with individually. We have made an effort to give a self-contained proof of Theorem A. In this spirit, we present some of the proofs in [1] in a more detailed way. Nonetheless, we recommend the reader to consult Bazaĭkin and Matvienko's original arguments in [1].

Our article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts on compact transformation groups and on cohomogeneity-two torus actions as appearing, for example, in [3, 13] (cf. [27, 26, 24]). We also recall some basic facts on Fermi coordinates and analytic results on mollification functions which we will use in the proof of Theorem A. Section 3 contains the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Christoph Böhm, Jonas Hirsch, and David Wraith for helpful conversations on the constructions presented herein. We would also like to thank Karsten Grove and Anand Dessai for discussions on the Witten genus.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Group actions.** Let $G \times M \to M$, $p \mapsto g \star p$, be a smooth action of a compact Lie group G on M. The *isotropy group* at p is $G_p = \{g \in G \mid g \star p = p\}$. If G_p acts trivially on the normal space to the orbit at p we say that the orbit $G(p)$ is *principal*. The set of principal orbits is open and dense in M. Since the isotropy groups of principal orbits are conjugate in G, and since the orbit $G(p)$ is diffeomorphic to G/G_p, all principal orbits have the same dimension. If $G(p)$ has the same dimension as a principal orbit but the isotropy group acts non-trivially on the normal space to the orbit at p, we say that the orbit is *exceptional*. If the orbit $G(p)$ has dimension less than the dimension of a principal orbit, we say that the orbit is *singular*. We denote the set of exceptional orbits by E and the set of singular orbits by Q. We denote the *orbit space* M/G by M^* and we define the *cohomogeneity* of the action to be the dimension of the orbit space M^*. Let $\pi\colon M \to M^*$ be the projection onto the orbit space. We let X^* denote the image of a subset X of M under π. The action is called *effective* if the intersection of all isotropy subgroups of the action is trivial. We say that a Riemannian metric is *invariant* under the action if the group acts by isometries with respect to this metric.

We identify the torus T^n with $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. A circle subgroup of T^n is determined by a line given by a vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, with $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ relatively prime, via $G(a) = \{(e^{2\pi i t a_1}, \ldots, e^{2\pi i t a_n}) \mid 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1\}$ (for a more detailed discussion see [27]). Recall that a smooth, effective action of a torus



FIGURE 2.1. Orbit space structure of a cohomogeneity-two torus action on a closed, simply-connected manifold.

on a smooth manifold has trivial principal isotropy. Therefore, a smooth, effective action of an n-torus on a smooth $(n+2)$-manifold has cohomogeneity two.

Let M be a closed, simply-connected, smooth $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geqslant 2$, on which a compact Lie group G acts smoothly and effectively with cohomogeneity two. It is well known that, if the set Q of singular orbits is not empty, then the orbit space M^* is homeomorphic to a 2-disk D^* whose boundary is Q^* (see [3, Chapter IV]). Moreover, the interior points correspond to principal orbits (i.e. the action has no exceptional orbits). The orbit space structure was analyzed in [24, 27] when $G = T^n$ for $n \geqslant 2$. In this case the only posible non-trivial isotropy groups are circle subgroups $G(a_i)$ and a product of circle subgroups $G(a_i) \times G(a_{i+1})$, i.e. a T^2 torus in T^n. Furthermore, the boundary circle Q^* is a finite union of $m \geqslant n$ edges Γ_i that have as interior points orbits with isotropy $G(a_i)$. The vertex F_i between the edges Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} corresponds to an orbit with T^2 isotropy group $G(a_i) \times G(a_{i+1})$ (where we take indices mod m). This structure is illustrated in Figure 2.1 (cf. [13, Figure 1]).

Let M be a closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geqslant 2$, with an effective T^n-action. As described in the preceding paragraph, M^* is decorated with isotropy invariants $G(a_i)$, as in Figure 2.1. The vectors $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ are called the *weights* of the orbit space. The orbit space M^* is *legally weighted*, i.e. any two adjacent circle isotropy groups $G(a_i)$, $G(a_{i+1})$ have trivial intersection. Conversely, given a disk N^* equipped with legally weighted orbit data, there is a closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifold N with an effective action of a torus T^n such that N^* is the orbit space of N (see [27, Section 4]).

Let M and N be two closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifolds with effective T^n actions. We will say that the orbit spaces M^* and N^* are *isomorphic* if there exists a weight-preserving diffeomorphism between them. If $f^*\colon M^* \to N^*$ is a weight-preserving diffeomorphism, then there exists an equivariant diffeomorphism $f : M \to N$ which covers f^*. More generally, one has the following equivariant classification theorem (cf. [24,

Theorem 2.4] and [27, Theorem 1.6]), which will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem 2.1 (Kim-McGavran-Pak [24], Oh [27])**.** *Two closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifolds with an effective T^n-action are equivariantly diffeomorphic if and only if their orbit spaces are isomorphic.*

Remark 2.2. We point out that, by [24, 27], every closed, simply-connected topological manifold with an effective torus action of cohomogeneity two is equivariantly homeomorphic to a closed, simply-connected smooth manifold.

2.2. **Mollifiers and smoothing.** We now give a short overview of the smoothing of locally integrable functions $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, via mollification, as presented in [10, Appendix C.4].

Given an open subset U of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, we let

$$U_\lambda = \{x \in U \mid \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial U) > \lambda\}.$$

Recall that the *standard mollifier* $\eta\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is the smooth function given by

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} C \exp\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}\right) & \text{if } |x| < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geqslant 1, \end{cases}$$

with $C > 0$ chosen so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(x)\, dx = 1$. For each $\lambda > 0$ define

$$\eta_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right).$$

The functions $\eta_\lambda\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth, satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\lambda(x)\, dx = 1$ and the support $\operatorname{supp}(\eta_\lambda)$ is contained in the open ball of radius λ centered at the origin. Given a locally integrable function $f\colon U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, its *mollification* f_λ is the convolution $\eta_\lambda * f$ in U_λ, that is,

$$f_\lambda(x) = \int_U \eta_\lambda(x - y) f(y)\, dy$$

for $x \in U_\lambda$. The mollification f_λ is a smooth function and, for each multi-index α, one has

$$\partial^\alpha(f_\lambda) = \partial^\alpha(\eta_\lambda) * f.$$

Moreover,

$$\partial^\alpha(\eta_\lambda) * f = \eta_\lambda * \partial^\alpha(f),$$

when we consider $\partial^\alpha(f)$ in the distributional sense. Observe that when f is Liptschitz the distributional derivative agrees with the classical derivative (see [10, Appendix C.4]).

Now let $F\colon \mathbb{R} \times U \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and suppose that, for almost every $y \in U$, the derivative $\partial_x F(x, y)$ exists. Furthermore, assume that for some C^1 function $g\colon U \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|\partial_x F(x, y)| \leqslant g(y).$$

Then, by the Bounded Convergence Theorem, the function

$$h(x) = \int F(x, y) dy$$

is C^1. Now we set $F(x, y) = f(x - y)\eta_\lambda(y)$. When f is C^1, F is a locally *Lipschitz function*, i.e. for every point (x_0, y_0), there exist $M > 0$ and

$\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\|(x,y)-(x_0,y_0)\| < \delta_0$ then $\|F(x,y)-F(x_0,y_0)\| < M\|(x,y)-(x_0,y_0)\|$. Thus F is uniformly bounded and the partial derivative exists $\partial_x F(x,y)$ for almost every y. Thus we get that

$$\partial_x(f_\lambda(x)) = \int \partial_x f(x-y)\eta_\lambda(y)dy = (\partial_x f)_\lambda(x). \tag{2.1}$$

One also has that, if f is continuous on U, then f_λ converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of U.

Remark 2.3. If f is non-negative and bounded, i.e. $0 \leqslant f \leqslant C$, then f_λ is also non-negative and bounded, since

$$0 \leqslant \int_U \eta_\lambda(x-y)f(y)dy \leqslant C\int_U \eta_\lambda(x-y)dy \leqslant C.$$

Remark 2.4. If $f\colon U \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise-smooth function with finitely many discontinuities in U, then f_λ converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of U as $\lambda \to 0$, and $f_\lambda \to f$ almost everywhere (see [10, App. C.4 Thm. 6]). Thus for a small smoothing parameter λ, since f_λ is a smooth function, it follows from Remark 2.3 and equation (2.1), that the derivatives of f_λ have the same bounds of the derivatives of f (whenever these exist).

2.3. **Fermi coordinates.** To conclude this section, we recall the definition of Fermi coordinates and state some related results (for further details see [17, Chapter 2]). Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $N \subset M$ be an embedded submanifold. Let $\nu : T^\perp N \to N$ be the tangent normal bundle of N and let $\exp^\perp$ be the normal exponential map. Recall that $\exp^\perp$ is a diffeomorphism from a small neighborhood of N in ν (where we think of N as the zero section of ν) onto a neighborhood of N in M. Fix $p \in N$ and let $\{E_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p^\perp N$. The *Fermi coordinates* at $p \in N$ are obtained by completing a coordinate system of N on a neighborhood of $p \in N$ with the normal coordinates obtained via $\exp^\perp(p, tE_i)$.

Fermi coordinates have the advantage that, in these coordinates, the metric can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix},$$

where h is a function that only depends on the normal coordinates to N. This fact is useful for computations.

3. Proof of Theorem A

Let M be a closed, simply-connected smooth $(n+2)$-manifold with an effective action of a torus T^n. By the comments after the statement of Theorem A, we need only prove the theorem for $n \geqslant 3$. We proceed along the lines of the proof given in [1] for the case $n = 2$.

Let $n \geqslant 2$ and suppose that the boundary of M^* has m edges $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m$ with corresponding circle isotropy groups $G(a_1), \ldots, G(a_m)$, and m vertices $F_1, \ldots, F_m$ with T^2 isotropy groups $G(a_1)\times G(a_2), \ldots, G(a_m)\times G(a_1)$, respectively. Since $n \geqslant 2$, we must have $m \geqslant 2$. Let $T^m = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \cdots \mathbb{S}^1$ be the product of m copies of the circle group and consider the product $M^* \times T^m$. Now make the following identifications at the boundary. At an interior point

of Γ_i contract the i-th coordinate circle of T^m. At a vertex F_i between the edges Γ_i and Γ_{i+1}, we contract the i-th and $(i+1)$-th coordinate circles of T^m. Let N_m be the space obtained after performing these identifications. For a similar construction for toric manifolds, see [4].

Lemma 3.1. *The space N_m is a closed, simply-connected smooth $(m+2)$-manifold. Moreover, the natural T^m on $D^2\times T^m$ induces a smooth T^m-action N_m.*

Proof. We will show that every point in N_m has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an $(m+2)$-ball. Recall that $N_m = D^2 \times T^{m+2}/\sim$, where $\sim$ is the identification defined in the preceding paragraph. Let $[p] \in N_m$, where $p \in D^2 \times T^m$. It is clear, from the definition of $\sim$, that N_m is a topological manifold at the points corresponding to the projection of $\mathrm{int}(D^2) \times T^m$.

We now verify that N_m is a topological manifold at points $[p] \in N_m$, with $p \in \partial(D^2) \times T^m$. Let us write $p = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$, with $x_0 \in \partial D^2$ and x_i in the i-th factor of T^m. Assume first that x_0 is in an edge Γ_i of ∂D^2. We may assume, after relabelling the edges of D^2 if necessary, that x_0 is a point in Γ_1. A sufficiently small neighborhood of p in $D^2 \times T^m$ is homeomorphic to $[0,1] \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-1}$. The identification $\sim$ is only carried out on the product $[0,1]\times\mathbb{S}^1$ of the second and third factor of $[0,1]\times[0,1]\times\mathbb{S}^1\times T^{m-1}$. After collapsing one end of the cylinder $[0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ to a point, we get a cone $\mathrm{Cone}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, i.e. a 2-ball. Thus, after the identification, we get

$$([0,1] \times [0,1] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-1})/\sim = [0,1] \times \mathrm{Cone}(\mathbb{S}^1) \times T^{m-1}.$$

This is a topological manifold, and hence N_m is a topological manifold around $[p] = [(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)]$ with $x_0 \in \Gamma_1$.

Assume now that x_0 is a vertex F_i of ∂D^2 (see Figure 2.1). We may assume, after relabelling if necessary, that x_0 is the vertex F_2, so that a small neighborhood of F_2 in D^2 looks as in Figure 3.1. Consider a curve $\beta : [0,1] \to D^2$ that joins the edges Γ_1 with Γ_2 as shown in Figure 3.1. Consider the set

$$\beta([0,1]) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2} \subset D^2 \times T^m,$$

where the circle factors in $\mathbb{S}^1\times\mathbb{S}^1\times T^{m-2}$ correspond to the first and second factors of T^m. Hence, on the half of β that goes to Γ_1, after the identification as explained before, we obtain $D^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Observe that, after identifications, we have

$$(\beta([0,\tfrac{1}{2}]) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2})/\sim = D^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2}.$$

Similarly,

$$(\beta([\tfrac{1}{2},1]) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2})/\sim = \mathbb{S}^1 \times D^2 \times T^{m-2}.$$

We obtain the space

$$(\beta([0,1]) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2})/\sim$$

by gluing the spaces $D^2\times\mathbb{S}^1\times T^{m-2}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1\times D^2\times T^{m-2}$ along their boundary via the identity. Thus after the identifications we get

$$(\beta([0,1]) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times T^{m-2})/\sim = \mathbb{S}^3 \times T^{m-2}.$$



FIGURE 3.1. Neighborhood around a vertex.

Since a sufficiently small neighborhood of the vertex F_2 is the cone over β, it follows that, after carrying out the identification, we get that a neighborhood of $[p] = [(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)]$ with $x_0 = F_2$ is homeomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Cone}(\mathbb{S}^3) \times T^{m-2} = D^4 \times T^{m-2}.$$

Thus N_m is a topological $(m+2)$-manifold.

To see that N_m is simply connected, observe first that $\pi_1(D^2 \times T^m) = \pi_1(D^2) \times \pi_1(T^m) = \pi_1(T^m)$. On each T^m fiber on the boundary of the disk D^2, we are collapsing via the identification $\sim$ a circle factor of T^m, which corresponds to some generator of $\pi_1(T^m)$. Since, after the identification $\sim$, each factor of T^m collapses over some edge of D^2, we must have that N_m is a simply-connected topological manifold.

Let us now show that N_m admits an effective topological T^m-action induced by the natural action of T^m on $D^2 \times T^m$. This holds because T^m acts on the product $D^2 \times T^m$ by acting trivially on D^2 and via the standard T^m action on itself on the second factor. Since the identification $\sim$ depends only on a parameter determined by the first factor, the T^m-action on $D^2 \times T^m$ descends to the quotient N_m. More precisely, for $[w_1, \dots, w_m] \in T^m = \mathbb{R}^m/\mathbb{Z}^m$ and $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)] \in N_m$ the action is given by

$$[w_1, \dots, w_m] \star [(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)] = [(x_0, x_1 + w_1, \dots, x_m + w_m)].$$

To see that the action of T^m on N_m is effective, simply observe that the isotropy at points in $\mathrm{int}(D^2)$ is trivial. Thus, the action of T^m on N_m is effective and of cohomogeneity two. Therefore, as pointed out in Remark 2.2, N_m is equivariantly homeomorphic to a smooth manifold with a smooth T^m-action, as stated in the lemma. □

The following lemma is instrumental to the proof of Theorem A:

Lemma 3.2. *There is a subgroup $T^{m-n} \subset T^m$ acting freely on N_m such that the T^n-manifold N_m/T^{m-n} is equivariantly diffeomorphic to M.*

Proof. Suppose that each edge Γ_i in the boundary of M^* has an associated isotropy group $G(a_{1i}, \dots, a_{ni})$. Consider the following $n \times m$ integer matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let K be the kernel of the linear map $A\colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and F the kernel of the map $A|_{\mathbb{Z}^m}\colon \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then we can write $T^{m-n} = K/F$ and the

T^{m-n}-action on T^m is given by translation in $\mathbb{R}^m$ by elements of K:

$$[x_1, \dots, x_m] \star [z_1, \dots, z_m] = [x_1 + z_1, \dots, x_m + z_m].$$

Since the action is by translations, it is free. Now we define an action of T^n on N_m/T^{m-n} as follows. For an element $y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \in T^n$, since the matrix A is onto, there is an element $w = [w_1, \dots, w_m] \in T^m$ such that $A\,w = y$, and so, for $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)] \in N_m$ we set

$$[y_1, \dots, y_n] \star T^{m-n}([(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)]) = T^{m-n}([(x_0, w_1 + x_1, \dots, w_m + x_m)]).$$

Let $\{e_i\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^m$ and observe that, by construction, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have $A\,e_i = a_i$, the weight of the edge Γ_i. Let x_0 be a point in Γ_i. Without loss of generality we suppose that $i = 1$. Then, from the construction of N_m, we have that $[(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)] = [(x_0, 0, x_2, \dots, x_m)]$, and e_1 is in the preimage of a_1. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}[a_1, \dots, a_n] \star T^{m-n}([(x_0, 0, x_2, \dots, x_m)]) &= T^{m-n}([(x_0, 1, x_2, \dots, x_m)]) \\ &= T^{m-n}([(x_0, 0, x_2, \dots, x_m)]).\end{aligned}$$

If x_0 is the vertex F_1, a similar computation shows that the isotropy group at x_0 is $G(a_1) \times G(a_2)$. Thus we see that the isotropy groups of the action of T^n on N_m/T^{m-n} are the same as the isotropy groups of the action of T^n on M. It then follows from Theorem 2.1 that N_m/T^{n-m} is equivariantly diffeomorphic to M. □

We will divide the proof of Theorem A into two cases: first, where $5 > m \geqslant n \geqslant 2$ and, second, where $m \geqslant 5$.

We first discuss the case where $5 > m \geqslant n \geqslant 2$. In the case where $n = 2 = m$, from the work of Orlik and Raymond in [29] we have that $N_2 = M = \mathbb{S}^4$ together with a T^2 action, which is equivariantly diffeomorphic to a linear action. Hence $M = \mathbb{S}^4$ admits a T^2-invariant metric with positive Ricci curvature. If $m = n = 3$, it follows from Oh's equivariant classification in [27] that $N_m = M$ is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^5$ equipped with a linear T^3 action. Therefore, M admits an invariant metric with positive Ricci curvature (e.g. a round metric). Suppose now that $m = 4$ and $n = 4$. Since the orbit space of $N_4^* = M^*$ has four vertices, it follows from the work of Oh [27] that N_4 is equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ equipped with a product of round metrics. Finally, suppose that $m = 4$ and $n = 3$. In this case M is equivariantly diffeomorphic to N_4/T^1 and is 5-dimensional. The submersion metric induced on M by $N_4 = \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ (equipped with the product of round metrics on $\mathbb{S}^3$) has positive Ricci curvature and the induced T^3-action on M is by isometries. Since M^* has four vertices, M must be equivariantly diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ or to $\mathbb{S}^2 \tilde{\times} \mathbb{S}^3$ equipped with an invariant metric of non-negative sectional curvature induced by the product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ (see [13, 27]). One may also deduce the existence of invariant metrics with positive Ricci curvature on these spaces by combining the fact that they are equivariantly diffeomorphic to biquotients of $\mathrm{S}^3 \times \mathrm{S}^3$ by a torus actions (see [13]) with [34, Theorem A].



FIGURE 3.2. Sketch of the graph of $G(x)$. The dots indicate where the function is not C^∞.

We now consider the general case when $m \geqslant 5$; we follow Bazaĭkin and Matvienko's construction in [1]. The outline of the construction is as follows: First one constructs a piecewise-smooth C^1 metric ds_0^2 on a strip $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, which depends on several parameters. With this metric one obtains a piecewise-smooth C^1 metric on the disk D^2. Abusing notation we will again denote this metric by ds_0^2. One then constructs a warped product metric ds^2 on the product $D^2 \times T^m$. We verify that the metric ds^2 on $D^2 \times T^m$ descends to the manifold N_m and is compatible with the T^{m-n} action. In this way we get a metric $d\overline{s}^2$ on M which turns the submersion $N_m \to M$ into a Riemannian submersion. To conclude the proof, we verify that the metric $d\overline{s}^2$ on M has positive Ricci curvature and can be smoothed while preserving positive Ricci curvature.

To define ds_0^2 on a strip of $\mathbb{R}^2$ fix constants $\varepsilon, \Delta, k_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon(\pi - 1) > \delta > \nu > 0$. Later on, we will choose ε small enough in order to guarantee that $d\overline{s}^2$ has positive Ricci curvature. Using the relations

$$\tanh(\varepsilon + \nu) = \frac{1}{k_1} \tan\left(\frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{k_1}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

$$\tan\left(\frac{2\varepsilon - x_0}{k_2}\right) = k_2 \tanh(\nu). \tag{3.2}$$

we get constants x_0 and k_1. With these constants define the function $G\colon [-\delta, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} (1 + (1 + k_1^2)\sinh^2(\varepsilon + \nu))^{1/2} \cos(\frac{x+\delta}{k_1}), & -\delta \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon, \\ \cosh(x - 2\varepsilon - \nu), & \varepsilon \leqslant x \leqslant 2\varepsilon, \\ (1 + (1 + k_2^2)\sinh^2(\varepsilon + \nu))^{1/2} \cos(\frac{x-x_0}{k_2}), & 2\varepsilon \leqslant x. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

From equations (3.1) and (3.2), it is easy to see that G is piecewise-smooth and C^1 on $[-\delta, \infty)$.

Consider now the strip

$$\Sigma = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -\delta \leqslant x,\ 0 \leqslant y \leqslant \Delta\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and define on Σ the metric

$$ds_0^2 = dx^2 + G(x)dy^2.$$

Observe that with this metric the strip Σ is isometric to a subset of a surface of revolution determined by the graph of G, which is shown in Figure 3.2. This metric is locally isometric to the round metric on the sphere of radius k_1 for $-\delta \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon$, to the metric of the hyperbolic plane with constant curvature -1 for $\varepsilon \leqslant x \leqslant 2\varepsilon$, and to the round metric on the sphere of radius k_2 for $x \geq 2\varepsilon$. Choose a constant $\mu_1 \leqslant \varepsilon(\pi - 1)$, and take $r > 2\varepsilon$ such that the geodesic curve γ, for the metric ds_0^2, joining the points $(r, 0)$ and (r, Δ) is at a distance less than μ_1 from the curve $\{x = 2\varepsilon\}$. In this way we obtain a geodesic quadrangle Π, as shown in Figure 3.3. We need to choose k_2 and Δ large enough so that

$$\int_{\Pi} K dA = -\frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here, K and dA are, respectively, the sectional curvature and the area form of ds_0^2. The Gauß-Bonnet Theorem guarantees that the angles at the intersection point of γ with the curve $\{y = \Delta\}$, and at the point of γ with the curve $\{y = 0\}$, are both $\pi/4$ (see Figure 3.3).



FIGURE 3.3. Quadrangle Π.

Next, divide the quadrangle Π in two pieces by cutting it at the curve $\{y = \Delta/2\}$. Denote the upper half by Π_+ and the lower part by Π_-. From Π, Π_+ and Π_- we construct the disk shown in Figure 3.4 (compare with Figure 2.1), by taking first Π_-, then placing under it $m-5$ copies of Π, and finally putting at the bottom the piece Π_+. The resulting polygon D has geodesic sides that, by construction, meet at an angle of $\pi/2$. We label the boundary edges of D by first labelling the edge consisting of curves $\{x = -\delta\}$ as Γ_1 and continue in a clockwise fashion. From now on we will identify the orbit space M^* with the indexed polygon D. Note that the metric ds_0^2 on Π can be extended to D to obtain a piecewise-smooth C^1 metric that we also denote by ds_0^2.

Now we construct a metric ds^2 on the product $D \times T^m$. For this we consider Fermi coordinates (ρ_i, ψ_i) on a tubular neighborhood U_i of the geodesic edge Γ_i. Here, ρ_i is the distance from a point $(x, y) \in U_i$ to Γ_i with respect to ds_0^2, and ψ_i is the coordinate on Γ_i (i.e. $\Gamma_i(\psi)$ is the point that achieves the distance). From the construction of D we have that $\rho_1(x, y) = x + \delta$ and $\psi(x, y) = y$. Set $\mu \leqslant 2\pi\mu_1$ and define, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, functions $f_i \colon D^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows. For $1 < i \leqslant m$, let

$$f_i = \begin{cases} \mu \sin\left(\frac{\rho_i}{\mu}\right) & 0 \leqslant \rho_1 \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\mu, \\ \mu & \mu \leqslant \rho_i. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$



FIGURE 3.4. Polygon D.

We define the function f_1 as

$$f_1 = \begin{cases} 4\varepsilon \sin\left(\frac{\rho_1}{4\varepsilon}\right) & 0 \leqslant \rho_1 \leqslant 2\pi\varepsilon, \\ 4\varepsilon & 2\pi\varepsilon \leqslant \rho_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

The functions f_i are C^1 and piecewise-smooth with bounded derivatives and, if we choose μ_1 small enough, then f_1 is smooth on all of D. From now on, we fix μ_1 so that this is so. We now define the C^1 piecewise-smooth metric ds^2 on $D^2 \times T^m$ as

$$ds^2 = ds_0^2 + f_1^2 d\phi_1^2 + \cdots f_1^2 d\phi_m^2, \tag{3.7}$$

where $d\phi_i^2$ is the metric of length 2π on the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$.

Now we prove that the metric ds^2 we just defined induces a metric on the manifold N_m. For a point close to the edge Γ_i and far from a vertex, since the metric ds_0^2 is the round metric on the sphere of radius k_2, we have

$$ds^2 = d\rho_i^2 + h_i^2 d\psi_i^2 + \mu_i^2 \sin\left(\frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}\right) d\phi^2 + \sum_{j\neq i}^{m} f_j^2 d\phi_j^2,$$

with $\mu_1 = 4\varepsilon$ and $\mu_i = \mu$. Thus, at a point on Γ_i, we get that

$$ds^2 = d\rho_i^2 + d\psi_i^2 + \sum_{j\neq i}^{m} f_j^2 d\phi_j^2.$$

Hence the metric ds^2 is independent of the i-th factor of T^m inside of Γ_i. In a neighborhood of a vertex, in Fermi coordinates we have

$$ds^2 = d\rho_i^2 + d\rho_{i+1}^2 + \mu_i^2 \sin\left(\frac{\rho_i}{\mu_i}\right) d\phi^2 + \mu_{i+1}^2 \sin\left(\frac{\rho_{i+1}}{\mu_{i+1}}\right) d\phi^2 + \sum_{j\neq i,\, i+1}^{m} f_j^2 d\phi_j^2.$$

On the vertex we see that the metric ds^2 does not depend on the i-th and $(i+1)$-th factor of T^m. Thus we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *The metric ds^2 defines a C^1 piecewise-smooth metric on N_m which we also denote by ds^2, and the action of the T^{m-n} from Lemma 3.2 is by isometries.*

Proof. We have already showed that indeed the metric ds^2 on $D\times T^m$ defines a metric on N_m. Since the action of T^{m-n} on N_m is induced by the action of T^m on $D\times T^m$ and $ds^2 = dx^2 + G(x)^2dy^2 + f_1^2d\phi_1^2 + \cdots + f_m^2d\phi_m^2$ we have that the action of T^m is by isometries. Thus the action of T^{m-n} is also by isometries. □

Since there is a submersion $N_m \to M$, there exists a C^1 piecewise-smooth metric $d\overline{s}^2$ on M which makes the submersion Riemannian (see [18]). This is the metric that carries positive Ricci curvature.

Proposition 3.4. *The C^1 piecewise-smooth Riemannian metric $d\overline{s}^2$ on M has positive definitive Ricci tensor.*

Proof. We proceed along the lines of the proof of [1, Lemma 3]. The main difference in our case is that the horizontal space of the submersion $N_m \to M$ is $(n+2)$-dimensional, $n \geqslant 3$, whereas in [1] this space is 4-dimensional. Still, the computations are similar.

Let Ric and $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}$ be, respectively, the Ricci tensor of ds^2 and of $d\overline{s}^2$. Similarly, let R and $\overline{R}$ denote, respectively, the Riemannian curvature tensors of the metrics ds^2 and $d\overline{s}^2$. For each point in N_m denote by X the vectors tangent to the disk D, by U the vectors tangent to T^m that are orthogonal to T^{m-n} and, finally, denote by V the vectors tangent to T^{m-n}. Thus for the Riemannian submersion $N_m \to M$ the vectors V span the vertical distribution, and the vectors $X+U$ span the horizontal distribution in TN_m. Then, by [1, Proof of Lemma 3], $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,U) = 0$ and thus, as indicated in the second paragraph of the proof of [1, Lemma 3], it suffices to prove that $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) > 0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(U,U) > 0$. Considering the dual basis $e^{-1} = dx$, $e^0 = Gdy$, $e_i = f_i d\phi_i$ as given in [1], and using the connection form, we get the following expressions for the non-zero components R_{ijkl} of the curvature tensor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{-10-10} &= -\frac{G_{xx}}{G},\\
R_{-1i-1i} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{xx}}{f_i},\\
R_{0i0i} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{yy}}{f_i}\frac{1}{G^2} - \frac{(f_i)_x}{f_i}\frac{G_x}{G}\\
R_{ijij} &= -\frac{(f_i)_x}{f_i}\frac{(f_j)_x}{f_j} - \frac{1}{G^2}\frac{(f_i)_y}{f_i}\frac{(f_j)_y}{f_j},\\
R_{-1i0i} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{xy}}{f_i}\frac{1}{G} + \frac{(f_i)_y}{f_i}\frac{G_x}{G^2},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

The components are piecewise-smooth. Since we will use Fermi coordinates, (ρ_k, ψ_k) near the geodesics Γ_k, we compute the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor as before using the dual basis $e^{-1} = d\rho_k$, $e^0 = h_k d\psi_k$, $e^i = f_i d\phi_i$, to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{-10-10} &= -\frac{(h_k)_{\rho_k\rho_k}}{h_k},\\
R_{-1i-1i} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{\rho_k\rho_k}}{f_i},\\
R_{0i0i} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{\rho_k}}{f_i}\frac{(h_k)_{\rho_k}}{h_k}\\
R_{ijij} &= -\frac{(f_i)_{\rho_k}}{f_i}\frac{(f_j)_{\rho_k}}{f_j}\\
R_{-1i0i} &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

Now we consider a normal tangent vector X and n orthonormal vectors U_i. Then

$$U_i = \sum_{l=1}^{m} c_l^i \frac{1}{f_l}\partial_{\phi_l},$$

where $|c_l^i| \geqslant c$ for some constant $c > 0$. It follows from the O'Neill formulas for the sectional curvature that

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) \geqslant K + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R(X,U_i,X,U_i), \tag{3.10}$$

where K is the sectional curvature of the metric ds_0^2. We also note that since we have taken μ small, then at most, depending on the position of the point (x,y) in D, only the functions f_1, f_i and f_{i+1} will not be constant. Thus equation (3.10) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) \geqslant K + nc^2 \Bigg[& R\left(X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_i}}{f_i}, X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_i}}{f_i}\right)\\
& + R\left(X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1}}, X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1}}\right) + R\left(X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_1}}{f_1}, X, \frac{\partial_{\phi_1}}{f_1}\right)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

Then writing X in the second summand as

$$x_1\partial_{\rho_i} + x_2\frac{\partial_{\psi_i}}{k_2\cos(\rho_i/k_2)},$$

in the third one as

$$y_1\partial_{\rho_{i+1}} + y_2\frac{\partial_{\psi_{i+1}}}{k_2\cos(\rho_{i+1}/k_2)},$$

and in the last summand as

$$z_1\partial_x + z_2\frac{\partial_y}{G},$$

with $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1$, $y_1^2 + y_2^2 = 1$, $z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 1$, by equations (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) \geqslant K + nc^2\Bigg[&- x_1^2\frac{(f_i)_{\rho_i\rho_i}}{f_i} - x_2^2\frac{(f_i)_{\rho_i}}{f_i}\frac{(h_i)_{\rho_i}}{h_i} \\ &- y_1^2\frac{(f_{i+1})_{\rho_{i+1}\rho_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1}} - y_2^2\frac{(f_{i+1})_{\rho_{i+1}}}{f_{i+1}}\frac{(h_{i+1})_{\rho_{i+1}}}{h_{i+1}} \\ &- z_1^2\frac{(f_1)_{xx}}{f_1} - z_2^2\frac{(f_1)_x}{f_1}\frac{G_x}{G}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We now consider the cases when $2\varepsilon \leqslant x$, $\varepsilon \leqslant x \leqslant 2\varepsilon$ and $-\delta \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon$. First suppose that $2\varepsilon \leqslant x$ and assume that (x, y) is at a distance less than μ from the edges Γ_i and Γ_{i+1}, with $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Then substituting the definitions of the functions f_1, f_i, f_{i+1} and G in (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) \geqslant \frac{1}{k_2^2} + 2c^2\Bigg[&\frac{x_1^2}{\mu^2} + x_2^2\frac{\cos(\rho_i/\mu)\sin(\rho_i/k_2)}{k_2\mu\sin(\rho_i/\mu)\cos(\rho_i/k_2)} + \frac{y_1^2}{\mu^2} \\ &+ y_2^2\frac{\cos(\rho_{i+1}/\mu)\sin(\rho_{i+1}/k_2)}{k_2\mu\sin(\rho_{i+1}/\mu)\cos(\rho_{i+1}/k_2)} + \frac{z_1^2}{16\varepsilon^2} \\ &+ z_2^2\frac{\cos(\rho_1/4\varepsilon)\sin(\rho_1/k_2)}{4\varepsilon k_2\sin(\rho_1/4\varepsilon)\cos(\rho_1/k_2)}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Since each term inside the square brackets in equation (3.13) is non-negative, we have $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X, X) \geqslant 0$. When we are close to only one curve Γ_i or far from both Γ_i and Γ_{i+1}, the function f_{i+1}, or both f_i and f_{i+1}, will be constant, so only the last two terms in the square brackets will appear. Thus we still have positive Ricci curvature.

Now assume that $\varepsilon \leqslant x \leqslant 2\varepsilon$. Since here the metric ds_0^2 is locally isometric to the hyperbolic metric we have $h_k = \cosh(\rho_k)$ (see [8, p. 7]). If we are close to either Γ_2 or Γ_m, we get from (3.11), taking $i = 2$ or $i = m$, the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) &\geqslant -1 + nc^2\Bigg[\frac{x_1^2}{\mu^2} + x_2^2\frac{\cos(\rho_i/\mu)}{\sin(\rho_i/\mu)}\left(-\frac{\sinh(\rho_i)}{\cosh(\rho_i)}\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{z_1^2}{16\varepsilon^2} + z_2^2\frac{\cos(\rho_1/4\varepsilon)}{4\varepsilon\sin(\rho_1/4\varepsilon)}\left(-\frac{\sinh(x-2\varepsilon-\nu)}{\cosh(x-2\varepsilon-\nu)}\right)\Bigg] \\ &\geqslant -1 + nc^2\Bigg[\frac{x_1^2}{\mu^2} + x_2^2(-\frac{\mu}{4\varepsilon}) + \frac{z_1^2}{16\varepsilon^2} + \\ &\quad + z_2^2\frac{\tanh(\nu)}{4\varepsilon\tan((2+\pi)/4)}\Bigg].\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

This last inequality comes from the fact that for $0 \leqslant \rho_i \leqslant \pi\mu/2$ we have

$$0 \leqslant \frac{\cos(\rho_i/\mu)}{\sin(\rho_i/\mu)}\frac{\sinh(\rho_i)}{\cosh(\rho_i)} \leqslant \mu$$

and from the fact that we have chosen $\delta < (\pi - 1)\varepsilon$, and thus for $\varepsilon \leqslant x \leqslant 2\varepsilon$ we get

$$-\frac{\cos(\frac{\rho_1}{4\varepsilon})\sinh(x-2\varepsilon-\nu)}{\sin(\frac{\rho_1}{4\varepsilon})\cosh(x-2\varepsilon-\nu)} \geqslant \frac{\tanh(\nu)}{\tan(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\delta}{4\varepsilon})} \geqslant \frac{\tanh(\nu)}{\tan(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\pi}{4})}.$$

Since μ is smaller than ϵ we have that $\mu/4\varepsilon$ is small. The remaining terms in (3.14) are positive so at the end we get that the term in the bracket is large, and thus

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) > 0.$$

We note that if we are far from Γ_2 and Γ_m, then in (3.14), we have only the last two terms inside the square brackets and thus the assertion follows. The last case when $-\delta \leqslant x \leqslant \varepsilon$ is analogous to the first case where $2\varepsilon \leqslant x$. Thus we get that $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X) > 0$ everywhere.

Next we show that $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(U,U) > 0$ for a unit vector field. For this we now compute the Ricci curvature of the space tangent to T^m and orthogonal to T^{m-n}; recall that the elements of this space are the vectors U. Letting K_{ij} be the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by normal vectors U_i and U_j, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} &= R(U_i,U_j,U_i,U_j) \\ &= \sum_{l,s=1}^{m} (c_l^i)^2 (c_s^j)^2 R\left(\frac{\partial_{\phi_l}}{f_l}, \frac{\partial_{\phi_s}}{f_s}, \frac{\partial_{\phi_l}}{f_l}, \frac{\partial_{\phi_s}}{f_s}\right) \\ &= \sum_{l,s=1}^{m} (c_l^i)^2 (c_s^j)^2 R_{lsls}. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \leqslant 2\varepsilon$, from (3.8) we have that $R_{lsls} \geqslant 0$. For $2\epsilon \leqslant x$ we calculate the Christoffel symbols in the basis $e^{-1} = dx$, $e^0 = G dy$, $e_i = f_i d\phi_i$ using the following equation (see [35, p. 267]):

$$\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ki}^j e^k.$$

Using this expression together with

$$R_{ijks} = \sum_l \Gamma_{ik}^l \Gamma_{jl}^s - \sum_l \Gamma_{jk}^l \Gamma_{il}^s + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\Gamma_{ik}^s - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Gamma_{kj}^s,$$

we get that R_{1i1i}, $R_{1i+11i+1}$, $R_{ii+1ii+1} \geqslant 0$. By the O'Neill formula [2, Equation 9.36c] applied to an orthonormal basis X and $\tilde{X}$ of the tangent space of D we conclude that

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(U_i,U_i) \geqslant R(X,U_i,X,U_i) + R(\widetilde{X},U_i,\widetilde{X},U_i) + \sum_{j\neq i}^{m} K_{ij}. \tag{3.15}$$

From the discussion we did before for $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X)$, we know that $R(X,U_i,X,U_i) > 0$ and $R(\widetilde{X},U_i,\widetilde{X},U_i) > 0$, since for any unit vector $\hat{X}$ we have $R(\hat{X},\partial_{\phi_1}/f_1,\hat{X},\partial_{\phi_1}/f_1) > 0$. Thus we get that $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(U_i,U_i) > 0$, and so the proposition follows. □

Smoothing the metric. To conclude the proof of Theorem A one needs to smooth the metric ds^2 while preserving the positivity of the Ricci curvature of $d\overline{s}^2$. To do so, the following procedure is outlined in [1]: first smooth all functions in the definition of ds^2 at the discontinuity points so that the second derivatives remain positive near the discontinuity points. Then, observing that the Ricci curvature tensor is linear with respect to the second derivative of the metric, by taking the smoothing parameter sufficiently small, the Ricci curvature remains positive. We show this construction here with a little more detail.

Step 1. We choose a parameter λ small enough and then mollify the following extension $\widetilde{G}\colon [-\delta-\sigma,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ of the function G (defined in equation (3.3)), for $\sigma>0$ small:

$$\widetilde{G}(x)=\begin{cases}(1+(1+k_1^2)\sinh^2(\varepsilon+\nu))^{1/2}\cos(\frac{x+\delta}{k_1}), & -\delta-\sigma\leqslant x\leqslant\varepsilon,\\ \cosh(x-2\varepsilon-\nu), & \varepsilon\leqslant x\leqslant 2\varepsilon,\\ (1+(1+k_2^2)\sinh^2(\varepsilon+\nu))^{1/2}\cos(\frac{x-x_0}{k_2}), & 2\varepsilon\leqslant x.\end{cases}$$

Step 2. Let $G_\lambda=\tilde{G}_\lambda|_{[-\delta,\infty)}$ be the restriction to $[-\delta,\infty)$ of the mollified function $\widetilde{G}_\lambda$. Hence $G_\lambda\colon[-\delta,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. It follows from Remark 2.4 that G_λ converges uniformly to G on a compact subset $[-\delta,\beta]$, where $\beta\geqslant 2\epsilon$. Note that, if β is close enough to 2ϵ, then $G(x)>0$ for $x\in[-\delta,\beta]$, since $G(2\epsilon)\geqslant 1$ and G is continous. Thus $G_\lambda(x)>0$ when $x\in[-\delta,\beta]$, and hence the functions $\sqrt{G}$ and $\sqrt{G_\lambda}$ are defined on $[-\delta,\beta]$. By the compactness of $[-\delta,\beta]$ and the continuity of the square root, $\sqrt{G_\lambda}$ converges uniformly to $\sqrt{G}$ on $[-\delta,\beta]$.

Step 3. Consider now the metric given by

$$(ds_0^2)_\lambda=dx^2+G_\lambda(x)^2dy^2.$$

Lemma 3.5. *The sectional curvature K_λ of $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$ converges in measure to the sectional curvature K of ds_0^2 on $[-\delta,\beta]$.*

Proof. Recall that the sectional curvature K_λ of $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$ is given by $(G_\lambda)_{xx}/G_\lambda$, and the sectional curvature K of ds_0^2 is given by G_{xx}/G. Therefore, it suffices to show that $(G_\lambda)_{xx}/G_\lambda$ converges in measure to G_{xx}/G on $[-\delta,\beta]$. Let I_1 and I_2 be small open neighborhoods around the points ε and 2ε in $[-\delta,\beta]$, respectively. We assume that the intervals I_1, I_2 are small enough so that they are disjoint and their union $I_1\cup I_2$ is a proper subset of $[-\delta,\beta]$. Observe that G_{xx} is defined on $[-\delta,\beta]\setminus(I_1\cup I_2)$. Therefore the second derivative $(G_\lambda)_{xx}=(G_{xx})_\lambda$ converges uniformly to G_{xx} on $[-\delta,\beta]\setminus(I_1\cup I_2)$. Since G and G_λ are positive and bounded on $[-\delta,\beta]$, we have that $(G_\lambda)_{xx}/G_\lambda$ converges uniformly to G_{xx}/G on $[-\delta,\beta]\setminus(I_1\cup I_2)$. Therefore, the set of points where $(G_\lambda)_{xx}/G_\lambda$ does not converge to G_{xx}/G is a subset of $I_1\cup I_2$. Since we can take I_1 and I_2 arbitrarily small, it follows that $(G_\lambda)_{xx}/G_\lambda$ converges in measure on $[-\delta,\beta]$. □

Recall that $\sqrt{G_\lambda}$ converges uniformly to $\sqrt{G}$ on $[-\delta,\beta]$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5 the product $K_\lambda\sqrt{G_\lambda}$ converges in measure to $K\sqrt{G}$ on $[-\delta,\beta]$.

Step 4. Take μ small enough and define Π_λ using $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$, in the same way as Π was defined before Equation (3.4). For an appropriate β, the sets Π_λ and Π are subsets of $[-\delta,\beta]\times[0,\Delta]$. We use this fact to show that the total curvature of $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$ converges to the total curvature of ds_0^2.

Lemma 3.6. *Let dA_λ be the volume form of $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$ and let dA be the volume form of ds_0^2. Then $\int_{\Pi_\lambda} K_\lambda dA_\lambda$ converges to $\int_\Pi K dA$ as $\lambda\to 0$.*

Proof. Since G_λ converges uniformly to G on any compact subset of $[-\delta,\beta]\times[0,\Delta]$, the metric d_λ, induced by $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$, converges pointwise on compact subsets of $[-\delta,\beta]\times[0,\Delta]$ to the metric d, induced by ds_0^2. Let $U_\lambda\subset[-\delta,\beta]\times[0,\Delta]$ be a tubular neighborhood of radius μ of the curve $\{x=2\varepsilon\}$ with respect to the metric d_λ. Similarly, let $U\subset[-\delta,\beta]\times[0,\Delta]$ be a tubular neighborhood of radius μ of the curve $\{x=2\varepsilon\}$ in the metric d. These neighborhoods will be almost equal in the sense that if we conisder their set-theoretic difference $V=U_\lambda\,\triangle\,U$, then the measure of V, given by $\int_V dxdy$, can be taken to be as small as we want by taking λ sufficiently small. Recall that the right edges of Π_λ and Π are determined by geodesics contained in a neighborhood of radius μ of the segment $\{x=2\varepsilon\}$, with respect to $(ds_0^2)_\lambda$ in the case of Π_λ, and with respecto to ds_0^2 in the case of Π. Therefore $\int_{\Pi_\lambda\triangle\Pi} dxdy$ can be taken to be arbitrarily small. Combining this with the fact that $K_\lambda\sqrt{G_\lambda}$ converges in measure to $K\sqrt{G}$ on compact subsets of $[-\delta,\beta]$, and recalling that $dA_\lambda=\sqrt{G_\lambda}\,dxdy$ and $dA=\sqrt{G}\,dxdy$, we conclude that $\int_{\Pi_\lambda} K_\lambda dA_\lambda\to\int_\Pi K dA$ as $\lambda\to 0$. □

Therefore, by the previous lemma, for λ sufficiently small one can choose Δ, $k_2>0$ so that

$$\int_{\Pi_\lambda} K_\lambda\omega_\lambda=-\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Step 5. Consider Fermi coordinates (ρ_i,ψ_i) of the smooth metric $(ds_0)_\lambda^2$ around the boundary of the disk D^2 and, as in the beginning of the proof of the theorem, define the functions f_i for $(ds_0)_\lambda^2$ as

$$f_i=\begin{cases}\mu\sin\left(\frac{\rho_i}{\mu}\right) & \rho_1\leqslant\frac{\pi}{2}\mu,\\ \mu & \mu\leqslant\rho_i.\end{cases}$$

Next mollify these functions using the same parameter λ and define the metric ds_λ^2 on the manifold N_m as in (3.7) with the mollified versions of the functions f_i, and smooth metric $(ds_0)_\lambda^2$.

Lemma 3.7. *The metric $d\bar{s}_\lambda^2$ defined by ds_λ^2 via the submersion $N_m\to M$, has positive Ricci curvature.*

Proof. Since (3.12) is still valid and, from Remark 2.4, we obtain that the derivatives of the mollification of f_i are bounded by the original bounds of the derivatives of f_i. Therefore, the lower bounds on the Ricci curvature still hold and the lemma follows. □

This concludes the construction of a smooth invariant metric $d\bar{s}_\lambda^2$ on M with positive Ricci curvature. □

References

[1] Bazaĭkin,Ya. V. and Matvienko, I. V. *On the four-dimensional T^2-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **48** (2007), no. 5, 973–979; translation in Sib. Math. J. **48** (2007), no. 5, 778–783.

[2] Besse, A. L., *Einstein Manifolds (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete; 3. Folge, Bd. 10)*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1983.

[3] Bredon, G. E., *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Acad. Press, New York, 1972.

[4] Davis, M. W. and Januszkiewicz, T., *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451.

[5] Dessai, A., *Some geometric properties of the Witten genus*, Alpine perspectives on algebraic topology, 99–115, Contemp. Math., 504, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[6] DeVito, J., *The classification of compact simply connected biquotients in dimensions* 4 *and* 5, Differential Geom. Appl. **34** (2014), 128–138.

[7] DeVito, J., *The classification of compact simply connected biquotients in dimension* 6 *and* 7, Math. Ann., to appear; preprint arXiv:1403.6087 [math.DG] 2014.

[8] Dryden, E. B., *Geometric and Spectral Properties of Compact Riemann Orbisurfaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Dartmouth College, 2004.

[9] Evans, L. C., and Gariepy, R. F., *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, Studies in Advance Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca-Raton, FL, 1992.

[10] Evans, L. C., *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19, Amer. Math. Soc., U.S.A., 2010.

[11] Félix, Y., Halperin, S. and Thomas, J.-C., *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[12] Félix, Y., Oprea, J. and Tanré, D., *Algebraic models in geometry*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 17, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.

[13] Galaz-Garcia, F. and Kerin, M., *Cohomogeneity-two torus actions on non-negatively curved manifolds of low dimension*, Math. Z. **276** (2014), No. 1–2, 133–152.

[14] Galaz-García, F., Kerin, M. and Radeschi, M., *Torus actions on rationally-elliptic manifolds*, preprint arXiv:1511.08383 [math.DG] 2015.

[15] Galaz-Garcia, F. and Searle, C., *Low-dimensional Manifolds with Non-negative Curvature and Maximal Symmetry Rank*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2010), no. 7, 2559–2564.

[16] Gilkey, P. B. and Park, J.H. and Tuschmann, W., *Invariant metrics of positive Ricci curvature on principal bundles*, Math. Z., **227** (1998), no. 3, 455–463.

[17] Gray, A., *Tubes*, Progress in Mathematics, 221, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.

[18] Gromoll D., Walschap G., *Metric Folliations and Curvature*, Progress in Mathematics, 268, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.

[19] Grove, K., *Geometry of, and via, symmetries*, Conformal, Riemannian and Lagrangian geometry (Knoxville, TN, 2000), Univ. Lecture Ser., 27, 31–53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[20] Grove, K. and Searle, C., *Positively Curved Manifolds with Maximal Symmetry-rank*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **91** (1994), no. 1–3, 137–142.

[21] Grove, K. and Ziller, W., *Cohomogeneity one manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math. **149** (2002), no. 3, 619–646.

[22] Grove, K. and Ziller, W., *Polar manifolds and actions*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **11** (2012), no. 2, 279–313.

[23] Hatcher,A., *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[24] Kim, S.-K., McGavran, D. and Pak, J., *Torus group actions on simply connected manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), no. 2, 435–444.

[25] Kobayashi, S., *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Reprint of the 1972 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[26] Oh, H. S., *6-dimensional manifolds with effective T^4-actions*, Topol. Appl. **13** (1982), no. 2, 137–154.

[27] Oh, H. S., *Toral Actions on 5-Manifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), no. 1, 233–252.
[28] Orlik, P. and Raymond, F., *Actions of* SO(2) *on 3-manifolds*, Proc. Conf. on Transformation Groups (New Orleans, La., 1967), pp. 297–318, 1968.
[29] Orlik, P. and Raymond F., *Actions of the Torus on 4-Manifolds. I*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), no. 2, 531–559.
[30] Pavlov, A. V., *Estimates for the Betti numbers of rationally elliptic spaces*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., Rossiĭskaya Akademiya Nauk. Sibirskoe Otdelenie. Institut Matematiki im. S. L. Soboleva. Sibirskiĭ Matematicheskiĭ Zhurnal, **43** (2002), no. 6, 1332–1338.
[31] Raymond, F., *Classification of the actions of the circle on* 3*-manifolds* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (1968), 51–78.
[32] Searle, C. and Wilhelm F., *How to Lift Positive Ricci Curvature*, Geom. Topol. **19** (2015), no. 2, 1409–1475.
[33] Sha, J.-P. and Yang, D.-G., *Positive Ricci Curvature on the Connected Sums of* $S^n \times S^m$, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), no. 1, 127–138.
[34] Schwachhöfer, L. J. and Tuschmann, W., *Metrics of positive Ricci curvature on quotient spaces*, Math. Ann. **330** (2004), no. 1, 59–91.
[35] Spivak, M., *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry Vol. II*, Third Edition, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1999
[36] Stolz, S., *A conjecture concerning positive Ricci curvature and the Witten genus*, Math. Ann. **304** (1996), no. 4, 785–800.
[37] Wei, G., *Examples of complete manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with nilpotent isometry groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **19** (1988), no. 1, 311–313.
[38] Wraith, D., *Surgery on Ricci positive manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **501** (1998), 99–113.
[39] Wraith, D., *New Connected Sums with Positive Ricci Curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **32** (2007), no. 4, 343–360.
[40] Wraith D., *G-Manifolds with Positive Ricci Curvature and many Isolated Singular Points*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **45** (2014), no. 4, 319–335.
[41] Yeager, J., *Rational ellipticity in cohomogeneity two*, preprint arXiv:1309.5509 [math.DG] 2013.

(D. Corro) Institut für Algebra und Geometrie, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany.
E-mail address: diego.corro@partner.kit.edu
URL: http://www.math.kit.edu/iag5/~corro/en

(F. Galaz-García) Institut für Algebra und Geometrie, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany.
E-mail address: galazgarcia@kit.edu
URL: http://www.math.kit.edu/iag5/~galazg/en